



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,480		03/29/2004	Nicholas M. Valiante JR.	20426.003 9427	
27476	7590	11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Chiron Cor			CHONG, YONG SOO		
Intellectual l		R440			
P.O. Box 8097				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Emeryville,	CA 946	62-8097	1617		
				DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,480	VALIANTE, NICHOLAS M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yong S. Chong	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ıgust 2005</u> .					
· <u> </u>	, 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,24,26,30 and 36-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,24,26,30 and 36-39</u> is/are rejected 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/05. 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/814,480

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

This Office Action is in response to applicant's response filed on 8/22/2005.

Claims 21, 24, 26, 30, 36-39 are pending. Claims 1-20, 22-23, 25, 27-29, 31-35 have been cancelled. Claims 21, 24, 26, 30 have been amended. Claims 36-39 are new. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/22/2005. The requirement is deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 21, 24, 26, 30, 36-39 are examined herein.

Applicant's request that claims 26, 36-39 be added to the claim set is granted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham vs John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,480

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 21, 24, 26, 30, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Renhowe et al. (US 2004/0087626 A1) in view of Klaviniskis et al. (US 2003/0147923 A1).

The instant claims are directed to a composition comprising a SMIP compound of formula XXI and an antigen.

Renhowe et al. teach substituted benzazoles of formula I to treat cancer (abstract), for example N-methyl-4-({1-methyl-2-[6-pyrrolidin-1-ylpyridin-3-yl)amino]-1H-benzimidazol-5-yl}oxy)pyridine-2-carboxamide (pg. 83, example 365). Renhowe et al. further teach that the composition containing compounds of formula I can also contain biological response modifiers (section 0071), pharmaceutically acceptable carriers, and adjuvants (section 0131).

Renhowe et al., however fail to disclose specifically the MF59 adjuvant and antigens associated with influenza, haemagglutinin and neuraminidase surface protein in the composition.

Klaviniskis et al. teach that antigens can be used as adjuvants in the present composition (pg. 15, section 0155) to boost an immune response in a mammal (abstract). In addition to the MF59 adjuvant (pg. 2, section 0014), other antigens that are mentioned to be useful are influenza, hemagglutinin, and neuraminidase (pg. 14, section 0147).

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made, to combine the adjuvants as taught by Klaviniskis et al. with the composition as taught by Renhowe et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,480

Art Unit: 1617

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this combination because of the expectancy to stimulate and enhance the immune response with the adjuvants taught by Klaviniskis et al. (abstract) of cancer patients being treated with compounds of formula I as taught by Renhowe et al.

Examiner respectfully points out that the intended use of claim 26, directed to the biological function of the composition is given no patentable weight.

It is well known in Patent Law that if applicants are claiming a biological pathway as the basis for their invention then a mechanism by which the active ingredient gives the pharmacological effect does not alter the fact that the compound has been previously used to obtain the same pharmacological effects which would result from the claimed method. The patient, condition to be treated, and the effect are the same. An explanation of why that effect occurs does not make novel or even unobvious the treatment of the conditions encompasses by the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong S. Chong whose telephone number is (571)-272-8513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SREENI PADMANABHAN can be reached on (571)-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHENG OUT AS PRIMARY EXAMINE

YSC